

Cosmological Perturbations with Multiple Scalar Fields

Jai-chan Hwang^(a) and Hyerim Noh^(b)

^(a) Department of Astronomy and Atmospheric Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Korea

^(b) Korea Astronomy Observatory Whaam-dong, Yusung-gu, Korea

(February 1, 2008)

We consider the evolution of perturbed cosmological spacetime with multiple scalar fields in Einstein gravity. A complete set of scalar-type perturbation equations is presented in a gauge-ready form, and we derived the closed set of second-order differential equations in several useful forms. Conserved behaviors of the perturbed three-space curvature in the comoving gauge, φ_v , under several conditions are clarified. Under the slow-roll conditions, the adiabatic and isocurvature modes decouple from each other, and in the large-scale limit we have (i) the adiabatic mode is generally conserved, (ii) for a couple of special potential the isocurvature modes decouple from each other and are described by conserved quantities, (iii) in the two field system, the isocurvature mode is described by a conserved quantity for the general potential.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 98.80.Hw, 98.70.Vc, 04.62+v

I. INTRODUCTION

The cosmological perturbation theory [1], handling the evolution of linearly perturbed spacetime and matter in otherwise spatially homogeneous and isotropic world model, plays central role in the modern theory of large-scale structure formation in the universe. The linearity assumption of the structures seems to hold in the early evolution stage and in the large scale evolution of our presently observable patch of the universe. Besides the quantitative constraint on the cosmological model implying the flat universe, the recent observations of the CMBR anisotropies in the small-angular scale by Boomerang and Maxima-1 experiments [2], in our opinion, confirm dramatically the validity of the basic assumptions used in the cosmological perturbation theory, i.e., the linearity of the relevant cosmic structures in such scales.

The theoretical introduction of an acceleration phase in the early universe [3] enables us to draw a coherent picture of the structure generation process. The ever-present microscopic vacuum quantum fluctuations inside a causal domain can rapidly expand to become macroscopic during this acceleration phase, which in turn, can evolve into large-scale structures in the universe. The acceleration phase is often modelled by using a scalar field with a relevant potential term. Thus, while the background scalar field drives the early universe accelerating, the quantum fluctuation of the scalar field accompanied with simultaneously excited spacetime metric fluctuations provides seeds for the large-scale structure and the gravitational wave. Recent development shows the possibility that observational constraints can be translated into the constraints on, or the reconstructions of, the form of field potential driving the inflation. Such a reconstruction program is popular in the context of an inflation driven by a single minimally coupled scalar field with the slow-roll conditions [4].

The early universe scenarios with multiple episodes of inflation have recently attracted much attention in the literature. Such scenarios apparently allow more freedom in designing the spectra of the large-scale cosmic structures in their generation and evolution stages [5]. For the benefit of future studies, in this paper we would like to present the perturbed scalar field equations valid for an arbitrary number of scalar fields in the gauge-ready form, derive closed form equations in several useful forms, and derive general solutions in the large-scale limit. We will find that in various generic situations the evolution of perturbations can be characterized by certain conserved quantities: the adiabatic perturbation characterized by the perturbed curvature variable in the comoving gauge, φ_v , is conserved in various situations, and the isocurvature perturbations defined by a relative perturbation among perturbed fields, $\delta\phi_{IJ}$, are often described by some conserved quantities, as well. We start by introducing our notations, general equations, and strategy in §II. We set $c \equiv 1$.

II. PERTURBED WORLD MODEL AND BASIC EQUATIONS

We consider a gravity with an arbitrary number of scalar fields minimally coupled with the gravity. As the Lagrangian we consider

$$L = \frac{1}{16\pi G} R - \frac{1}{2} \sum_J \phi^{J;c} \phi_{J,c} - V(\phi^K). \quad (1)$$

R is the scalar curvature, and $a, b, \dots$ are spacetime indices. ϕ^I is the I -th component of N scalar fields with $I, J, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, N$; I, J indices are raised and lowered by δ_{IJ} . $V(\phi^K)$ is a general algebraic function of the scalar fields, i.e., $V(\phi^K) = V(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^N)$. Variations with respect to g_{ab} and ϕ^I lead to the gravitational field equation and the equation of motion:

$$G_{ab} = 8\pi G \left[\sum_J \left(\phi^J_{,a} \phi_{J,b} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \phi^{J;c} \phi_{J,c} \right) - V g_{ab} \right] \quad (2)$$

$$\equiv 8\pi G T_{ab}, \quad (2)$$

$$\square \phi^I - V^{,I} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $V_{,I} \equiv \partial V / (\partial \phi^I)$.

We consider the general perturbations in the FLRW world model. In the spatially homogeneous and isotropic background we are considering, to the linear order the three different types of perturbation decouple from each other and evolve independently. The presence of multiple number of minimally coupled scalar fields does *not* affect the gravitational wave of the perturbed spacetime and the rotational perturbations of the additional fluids, directly. Thus, in the following we will consider the *scalar-type* perturbation only. As the metric we take

$$ds^2 = -a^2 (1 + 2\alpha) d\eta^2 - a^2 \beta_{,\alpha} d\eta dx^\alpha + a^2 \left[g_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)} (1 + 2\varphi) + 2\gamma_{,\alpha|\beta} \right] dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \quad (4)$$

where $a(\eta)$ is the cosmic scale factor. α , β , γ and φ are spacetime dependent perturbed order variables. A vertical bar $|$ indicates a covariant derivative based on $g_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)}$. Considering the FLRW background and the scalar-type perturbation, the energy-momentum tensor can be decomposed into the effective fluid quantities as

$$T_0^0 = -(\bar{\mu} + \delta\mu), \quad T_\alpha^0 = -\frac{1}{k} (\mu + p) v_{,\alpha},$$

$$T_\beta^\alpha = (\bar{p} + \delta p) \delta_\beta^\alpha + \pi_\beta^\alpha. \quad (5)$$

To the background order we have:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2} \sum_J \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}_J + V, \quad p = \frac{1}{2} \sum_J \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}_J - V, \quad (6)$$

where an overdot indicates a time derivative based on t . To the perturbed order we have:

$$\delta\mu = \sum_J \left(\dot{\phi}^J \delta\dot{\phi}_J - \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}_J \alpha + V_{,J} \delta\phi^J \right),$$

$$\delta p = \sum_J \left(\dot{\phi}^J \delta\dot{\phi}_J - \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}_J \alpha - V_{,J} \delta\phi^J \right),$$

$$(\mu + p)v = \frac{k}{a} \sum_J \dot{\phi}^J \delta\phi_J, \quad \pi_\beta^\alpha = 0. \quad (7)$$

The equations for the background are:

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_J \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}_J + V \right) - \frac{K}{a^2},$$

$$\dot{H} = -4\pi G \sum_J \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}_J + \frac{K}{a^2},$$

$$\ddot{\phi}^I + 3H\dot{\phi}^I + V^{,I} = 0, \quad (8)$$

where K is the sign of the spatial curvature, and $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$. The first two equations follow from eq. (2), and the last one follows from eq. (3).

Now, we present a complete set of equations describing the scalar-type perturbation without fixing the temporal gauge condition, i.e., in the gauge-ready form:

$$\kappa \equiv 3(-\dot{\varphi} + H\alpha) + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \chi, \quad (9)$$

$$-\frac{k^2 - 3K}{a^2} \varphi + H\kappa = -4\pi G \delta\mu, \quad (10)$$

$$\kappa - \frac{k^2 - 3K}{a^2} \chi = 12\pi G (\mu + p) \frac{a}{k} v, \quad (11)$$

$$\left(\nabla^\alpha \nabla_\beta - \frac{1}{3} \delta_\beta^\alpha \Delta \right) (\dot{\chi} + H\chi - \alpha - \varphi) = \pi_\beta^\alpha, \quad (12)$$

$$\dot{\kappa} + 2H\kappa + \left(3\dot{H} - \frac{k^2}{a^2} \right) \alpha = 4\pi G (\delta\mu + 3\delta p), \quad (13)$$

$$\delta\ddot{\phi}^I + 3H\delta\dot{\phi}^I + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \delta\phi^I + \sum_J V^{,I}{}_J \delta\phi^J$$

$$= \dot{\phi}^I (\kappa + \dot{\alpha}) + \left(2\ddot{\phi}^I + 3H\dot{\phi}^I \right) \alpha, \quad (14)$$

where $\chi \equiv a(\beta + a\dot{\gamma})$ and k is the comoving wavenumber; ∇_α and Δ are the covariant derivative and the Laplacian based on $g_{\alpha\beta}^{(3)}$. Equations (9-14) are: the definition of κ , ADM energy constraint (G_0^0 component of the field equation), momentum constraint (G_α^0 component), ADM propagation ($G_\beta^\alpha - \frac{1}{3} \delta_\beta^\alpha G_\gamma^\gamma$ component), Raychaudhuri equation ($G_\gamma^\gamma - G_0^0$ component), and the scalar fields equations of motion, respectively.

In the following we briefly summarize the gauge-ready strategy suggested and elaborated in [6,7]. Under the gauge transformation $\tilde{x}^a = x^a + \xi^a$ with $\xi^t \equiv a\xi^0$ ($0 = \eta$) the perturbed metric and fluid quantities change as [7]:

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha - \xi^t, \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi - H\xi^t, \quad \tilde{\kappa} = \kappa + \left(3\dot{H} + \frac{\Delta}{a^2} \right) \xi^t,$$

$$\tilde{\chi} = \chi - \xi^t, \quad \tilde{v} = v - \frac{k}{a} \xi^t, \quad \delta\tilde{\phi}^I = \delta\phi^I - \dot{\phi}^I \xi^t. \quad (15)$$

As the temporal gauge fixing condition we can impose one condition in any of these temporally gauge dependent variables: $\alpha \equiv 0$ (synchronous gauge), $\varphi \equiv 0$ (uniform-curvature gauge), $\kappa \equiv 0$ (uniform-expansion gauge), $\chi \equiv 0$ (zero-shear gauge), $v/k \equiv 0$ (comoving gauge), $\delta\phi^I \equiv 0$ (uniform- ϕ^I gauge), etc. By examining these we notice that, except for the synchronous gauge condition (which fixes $\alpha = 0$), each of the gauge conditions fixes the temporal gauge mode completely. Thus, a variable in such a gauge condition uniquely corresponds to a gauge-invariant combination which combines the variable concerned and the variable used in the gauge condition. One can recognize the following combinations are gauge-invariant.

$$\delta\phi_\varphi^I \equiv \delta\phi^I - \frac{\dot{\phi}^I}{H} \varphi \equiv -\frac{\dot{\phi}^I}{H} \varphi_{\delta\phi^I}, \quad \varphi_v \equiv \varphi - \frac{aH}{k} v,$$

$$\varphi_\chi \equiv \varphi - H\chi \equiv -H\chi_\varphi, \quad v_\chi \equiv v - \frac{k}{a}\chi, \quad (16)$$

etc. The gauge-invariant combination $\delta\phi_\varphi^I$, for example, is equivalent to $\delta\phi^I$ in the uniform-curvature gauge which takes $\varphi \equiv 0$ as the gauge condition, etc. In this way, we can systematically construct various gauge-invariant combinations for a given variable. Since we can make many gauge-invariant combinations even for a given variable, this way of writing the gauge-invariant combination will turn out to be convenient practically. Generally, we do not know the suitable gauge condition *a priori*. The proposal made in [6,7] is that we write the set of equation without fixing the (temporal) gauge condition and arrange the equations so that we can implement easily various fundamental gauge conditions: eqs. (9-14) are arranged accordingly. We termed it a *gauge-ready method*.

III. MULTIPLE SCALAR FIELDS

The uniform-curvature gauge is convenient for handling $\delta\phi^I$. Equivalently, we can use the corresponding gauge-invariant combination $\delta\phi_\varphi^I$ introduced in eq. (16). Assuming a flat ($K = 0$) background, from eqs. (14,9-11) we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta\ddot{\phi}_\varphi^I + 3H\delta\dot{\phi}_\varphi^I + \frac{k^2}{a^2}\delta\phi_\varphi^I \\ &= -\sum_J \left[V_{,I}^J - \frac{8\pi G}{a^3} \left(\frac{a^3}{H} \dot{\phi}^I \dot{\phi}^J \right) \right] \delta\phi_\varphi^J. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

This equation was first derived in [8]. Compared with $\delta\phi^I$ equation in the uniform-curvature gauge in eq. (20) the ones in the other gauge conditions are more complicated [9]. The terms inside parenthesis of the RHS of this equation can be written as

$$V_{,I}^J + \frac{(8\pi G)^2}{H^2} V \dot{\phi}^I \dot{\phi}^J + \frac{8\pi G}{H} (V_{,J} \dot{\phi}^I + V_{,I} \dot{\phi}^J). \quad (18)$$

Thus, the scalar field fluctuations are generally coupled to each other as long as we have $V \neq 0$.

We introduce following gauge-invariant combinations

$$\delta\phi_{IJ} \equiv \frac{\delta\phi^I}{\dot{\phi}^I} - \frac{\delta\phi^J}{\dot{\phi}^J}, \quad (19)$$

which represent the *isocurvature modes*. If we decompose the effective fluid quantities in eqs. (6,7) in terms of the sum over individual ones as $\delta\mu \equiv \sum_J \delta\mu_J$ and $\mu + p = \sum_J (\mu_J + p_J)$ we can show $S_{IJ} = a^3(\delta\phi_{IJ}/a^3)$ where $S_{IJ} \equiv \delta\mu_I/(\mu_I + p_I) - \delta\mu_J/(\mu_J + p_J)$ is the well known isocurvature perturbation [10]. From eq. (17) we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{H^2}{a^3 \dot{\phi}^I \dot{\phi}^I} \left(\frac{a^3 \dot{\phi}^I \dot{\phi}^I}{H^2} \dot{\phi}_{\delta\phi^I} \right) + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \varphi_{\delta\phi^I} \\ &= -H \sum_J \left[V_{,I}^J - \frac{8\pi G}{a^3} \left(\frac{a^3}{H} \dot{\phi}^I \dot{\phi}^J \right) \right] \frac{\dot{\phi}^J}{\dot{\phi}^I} \delta\phi_{IJ}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

From eqs. (16,7,8) we have

$$\varphi_v = -\frac{H}{\mu + p} \sum_J \dot{\phi}^J \delta\phi_\varphi^J, \quad (21)$$

and from eqs. (9,11,21) we have $\varphi_v = (H^2/\dot{H})\alpha_\varphi$. Thus, using eq. (10) we can show

$$\dot{\varphi}_v = \frac{k^2}{a^2} \frac{H}{\dot{H}} \varphi_\chi + \frac{2H}{(\mu + p)^2} \sum_{J,K} V_{,J} \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}^K \dot{\phi}_K \delta\phi_{JK}. \quad (22)$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{H^2}{a^3 \dot{H}} \left(\frac{a^3 \dot{H}}{H^2} \dot{\varphi}_v \right) + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \varphi_v \\ &= \frac{2H^2}{a^3(\mu + p)} \left[\frac{a^3}{H(\mu + p)} \sum_{J,K} V_{,J} \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}^K \dot{\phi}_K \delta\phi_{JK} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In order to derive eq. (23) it is useful to have the following relation

$$\varphi_v = \varphi_\chi - \frac{H}{\dot{H}} (\dot{\varphi}_\chi + H\varphi_\chi), \quad (24)$$

which follows from eqs. (9-12) with eq. (7). The gauge-invariant combination φ_v represents the *adiabatic mode*.

Notice that the last terms in eqs. (22,23) vanish for the following situations.

- (i) A single component case.
- (ii) Another trivial case is the one with $V = \text{constant}$.
- (iii) $V_{,I} \propto \dot{\phi}_I$ for all components. This applies if we have $3H\dot{\phi}^I + V_{,I} = 0$ which implies $\ddot{\phi}^I = 0$ in eq. (8); meanwhile the slow-roll conditions assume $\ddot{\phi}^I \ll 3H\dot{\phi}^I$. Thus, in the large-scale limit, ignoring the Laplacian term, we have a general integral form solution

$$\varphi_v(k, t) = C(k) - D(k) \int^t \frac{H^2}{a^3 \sum_J \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}^J} dt, \quad (25)$$

where C and D are integration constants indicating the coefficients of relatively growing and decaying solutions, respectively.

- (iv) $\delta\phi_{IJ} = 0$. This case is more restrictive compared with (iii); the RHS of eq. (20) vanishes as well. The solution in eq. (25) remains valid, and additionally, from eqs. (21,19) we can show $\varphi_{\delta\phi^I} = -H\delta\phi_\varphi^I/\dot{\phi}^I = \varphi_v$.

Thus, under the conditions (i)-(iv) φ_v is *conserved* in the large-scale limit even in the multi-component situation, see eq. (25). We note that the gauge-invariant combination φ_v which shows fundamental importance in handling adiabatic perturbation was first introduced by Lukash in 1980 [11]

In order to make eq. (23) complete we need equations for $\delta\phi_{IJ}$. From eq. (14,7,9-11) we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{a^3 \dot{\phi}_I \dot{\phi}_J} \left(a^3 \dot{\phi}_I \dot{\phi}_J \delta \dot{\phi}_{IJ} \right) \\
& - \left(\frac{V_{,I}}{\dot{\phi}_I} - \frac{V_{,J}}{\dot{\phi}_J} \right) \sum_K \frac{\dot{\phi}^K \dot{\phi}_K}{\mu + p} \left(\delta \dot{\phi}_{IK} + \delta \dot{\phi}_{JK} \right) \\
& + \left(-3\dot{H} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \right) \delta \phi_{IJ} \\
& - \sum_K \left(V_{,I}^I \frac{\dot{\phi}^K}{\dot{\phi}_I} \delta \phi_{IK} - V_{,J}^J \frac{\dot{\phi}^K}{\dot{\phi}_J} \delta \phi_{JK} \right) \\
& = -2 \left(\frac{V_{,I}}{\dot{\phi}_I} - \frac{V_{,J}}{\dot{\phi}_J} \right) \frac{k^2}{a^2 \dot{H}} \varphi_\chi \\
& = \left(\frac{V_{,I}}{\dot{\phi}_I} - \frac{V_{,J}}{\dot{\phi}_J} \right) \left[-\frac{2}{\dot{H}} \dot{\varphi}_v + 4 \sum_{K,L} \frac{V_{,K} \dot{\phi}^K \dot{\phi}^L \dot{\phi}_L}{(\mu + p)^2} \delta \phi_{KL} \right], \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used eq. (22) in the last step. Notice that only $\dot{\varphi}_v$ contributes to the isocurvature modes. Equations (23,26) provide a complete set of equations in terms of the adiabatic, φ_v , and isocurvature, $\delta \phi_{IJ}$, perturbation variables. For a system of N scalar fields eq. (17) provides a coupled N set of second order differential equations for $\delta \phi^I$. Equations (23,26) provide an alternative expression in terms of φ_v and $\delta \phi_{IJ}$.

In the case of *two* minimally coupled scalar fields, using φ_v and $\delta \phi_{12}$, eqs. (23,26) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{H^2}{a^3(\mu + p)} \left[\frac{a^3(\mu + p)}{H^2} \dot{\varphi}_v \right] + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \varphi_v \\
& = \frac{2H^2}{a^3(\mu + p)} \left[\frac{a^3 \dot{\phi}_1 \dot{\phi}_2 (V_{,1} \dot{\phi}_2 - V_{,2} \dot{\phi}_1)}{H(\mu + p)} \delta \phi_{12} \right], \tag{27} \\
& \frac{\mu + p}{a^3 \dot{\phi}_1^2 \dot{\phi}_2^2} \left(\frac{a^3 \dot{\phi}_1^2 \dot{\phi}_2^2}{\mu + p} \delta \dot{\phi}_{12} \right) + \left[-3\dot{H} - V_{,12} \frac{\mu + p}{\dot{\phi}_1 \dot{\phi}_2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 4 \left(\frac{V_{,1} \dot{\phi}_2 - V_{,2} \dot{\phi}_1}{\mu + p} \right)^2 + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \right] \delta \phi_{12} \\
& = -\frac{2}{\dot{H}} \left(\frac{V_{,1}}{\dot{\phi}_1} - \frac{V_{,2}}{\dot{\phi}_2} \right) \dot{\varphi}_v. \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the adiabatic and isocurvature modes are coupled generally even in the large-scale limit. Recent study of the two-field system can be found in [12].

IV. SLOW-ROLL SITUATION

We have shown in the paragraph surrounding eq. (25) that under the slow-roll condition, i.e., for $V_{,I} = -3H\dot{\phi}_I$ thus $\ddot{\phi}_I = 0$, the adiabatic mode decouples from the isocurvature modes; we emphasize again that this condition differs from the ordinary slow-roll condition $V_{,I} \simeq -3H\dot{\phi}_I$ used in the inflation models. Under such a condition the RHS of eq. (26) vanishes, thus the isocurvature

modes *decouple* from the adiabatic one, as well. Notice, however, that equations for $\delta \phi_\varphi^I$ in eq. (17) are still generally coupled. In such a case eqs. (23,26) become

$$\frac{H^2}{a^3} \left(\frac{a^3}{H^2} \dot{\varphi}_v \right) + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \varphi_v = 0, \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{a^3} \left(a^3 \delta \dot{\phi}_{IJ} \right) + \left(-3\dot{H} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \right) \delta \phi_{IJ} \\
& - \sum_K \left(V_{,I}^I \frac{\dot{\phi}^K}{\dot{\phi}_I} \delta \phi_{IK} - V_{,J}^J \frac{\dot{\phi}^K}{\dot{\phi}_J} \delta \phi_{JK} \right) = 0. \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

In the large-scale limit, we have the solution for an adiabatic mode

$$\varphi_v(\mathbf{x}, t) = C(\mathbf{x}) - \tilde{D}(\mathbf{x}) \int_0^t \frac{H^2}{a^3} dt, \tag{31}$$

which is consistent with eq. (25) because $\sum_J \dot{\phi}^J \dot{\phi}_J = \text{constant}$ in the slow-roll limit. Therefore, under the slow-roll conditions, the adiabatic and isocurvature modes decouple from each other, and φ_v is temporally conserved in the large-scale limit for an arbitrary potential.

We can derive the solution of eq. (30) for the following cases.

(i) $V(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^N) = \sum_K V_K(\phi^K)$: In such a case, the term with summation in eq. (30) vanishes, and the equation can be written as

$$\frac{1}{a^3 H^2} \left[a^3 H^2 (\delta \phi_{IJ}/H) \right] + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \delta \phi_{IJ}/H = 0, \tag{32}$$

thus in the large-scale limit we have a general solution

$$\frac{1}{H} \delta \phi_{IJ}(\mathbf{x}, t) = C_{IJ}(\mathbf{x}) - D_{IJ}(\mathbf{x}) \int_0^t \frac{dt}{a^3 H^2}, \tag{33}$$

where C_{IJ} and D_{IJ} are coefficients of the relatively growing and decaying solutions. These behaviors are consistent with the results in [13] where the nontransient solutions in the zero-shear gauge were derived based on some additional conditions; for translation between the two gauge conditions we can use

$$\varphi_v = \varphi_\chi - \frac{H}{\mu + p} \sum_J \dot{\phi}_J \delta \phi_\chi^J, \tag{34}$$

which follows from eq. (21), and the gauge-invariance of $\delta \phi_{IJ}$.

(ii) $V(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^N) = \Pi_K V_K(\phi^K)$: In this case, we can show that the third term with summation in eq. (30) becomes $6\dot{H}\delta \phi_{IJ}$, thus the equation can be written as

$$\frac{H^2}{a^3} \left[\frac{a^3}{H^2} (H \delta \phi_{IJ}) \right] + \frac{k^2}{a^2} H \delta \phi_{IJ} = 0, \tag{35}$$

where we ignored quadratic order terms in $\dot{H}/H^2$. In the large-scale limit we have a general solution

$$H\delta\phi_{IJ}(\mathbf{x}, t) = C_{IJ}(\mathbf{x}) - D_{IJ}(\mathbf{x}) \int_0^t \frac{H^2}{a^3} dt. \quad (36)$$

Therefore, when we have potential of additive or multiplicative forms, as in (i) or (ii) above, the isocurvature modes decouple from each other, and we have $\delta\phi_{IJ}/H$ or $H\delta\phi_{IJ}$ temporally conserved in the large-scale limit, respectively.

(iii) With an arbitrary potential, to the linear order in $\dot{H}/H^2$, the isocurvature modes are generally coupled to each other. However, since $V_{,IJ} \sim \mathcal{O}(\dot{H})$, if we ignore linear order terms in $\dot{H}/H^2$, eq. (30) becomes $a^{-3}(a^3\delta\dot{\phi}_{IJ})' + (k^2/a^2)\delta\phi_{IJ} = 0$, and in the large-scale limit we have $\delta\phi_{IJ} = C_{IJ} - D_{IJ} \int_0^t a^{-3} dt$, thus $\delta\phi_{IJ}$'s are conserved.

(iv) In the two component system eq. (28) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{a^3} \left(a^3 \delta\dot{\phi}_{12} \right)' + \left(-3\dot{H} - V_{,12} \frac{\mu+p}{\phi_1\phi_2} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \right) \delta\phi_{12} = 0. \quad (37)$$

Considering that $V_{,IJ} \sim \mathcal{O}(\dot{H})$ we have $V_{,12} \frac{\mu+p}{\phi_1\phi_2} \sim \mathcal{O}(\dot{H})$. Thus, ignoring quadratic order terms in $\dot{H}/H^2$, eq. (37) can be written in the following form

$$\frac{1}{a^3 Q^2} \left[a^3 Q^2 (\delta\phi_{12}/Q)' \right]' + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \delta\phi_{12}/Q = 0, \quad (38)$$

where

$$Q \equiv H e^{\int V_{,12} \frac{\mu+p}{\phi_1\phi_2} \frac{dt}{3H}}. \quad (39)$$

In the large-scale limit we have

$$\frac{1}{Q} \delta\phi_{12}(\mathbf{x}, t) = C_{12}(\mathbf{x}) - D_{12}(\mathbf{x}) \int_0^t \frac{dt}{a^3 Q^2}. \quad (40)$$

Therefore, ignoring the transient solutions we have φ_v and $\delta\phi_{12}/Q$ remain constant, thus $\delta\phi_{12} \propto Q$. We can show that (i) for $V(\phi_1, \phi_2) = V_1(\phi_1) + V_2(\phi_2)$ we have $Q = H$, and (ii) for $V(\phi_1, \phi_2) = V_1(\phi_1)V_2(\phi_2)$ we have $Q = 1/H$. The corresponding solutions in the zero-shear gauge have been investigated in [13]. In [13] by imposing additional conditions on perturbed order variables nontransient parts of the solutions for $\delta\phi_\chi^I$ and φ_χ were derived. The translation into our gauge condition can be made using eq. (34), and results in [13] are consistent with ours which are represented by the conserved behaviors of φ_v and $\delta\phi_{12}/Q$.

Aspects of the large scale structure formation with multiple episodes of inflation based on the multiple number of minimally coupled scalar field have been studied in [13,5,8,14].

V. DISCUSSIONS

In this paper we have investigated aspects of scalar-type cosmological perturbation in the context of multiple

number of scalar fields in Einstein gravity. New results found in this paper are the followings. We have presented a complete set of scalar-type perturbation equation in a gauge-ready form: these are eqs. (9-14). The conserved behaviors of φ_v under certain conditions are the central theme studied in this paper: see, in particular, the paragraph below eq. (24), and eqs. (25,31). A set of closed form differential equations in terms of the adiabatic and the isocurvature perturbation variables, φ_v and $\delta\phi_{IJ}$, is derived in eqs. (23,26). The equations in two-component situation are presented in eqs. (27,28). Under the slow-roll conditions the adiabatic and the isocurvature modes decouple from each other, see eqs. (29,30), and various general solutions are presented below eq. (30). In the large-scale limit we have (i) the adiabatic mode is generally conserved, eq. (31), (ii) for a couple of special potential the isocurvature modes decouple from each other and are described by conserved quantities, eq. (33,36), (iii) in the two field system, the isocurvature mode is described by a conserved quantity for the general potential, eq. (40).

A complete set of perturbation equations in the case of *generalized gravity* with multiple minimally coupled scalar fields is presented in Sec. 4.2 of [7] in the gauge ready form. Our complete set of equations in the gauge-ready form and other closed form equations and the large-scale solutions derived in this paper will be useful in handling situations involving multiple number of scalar fields in diverse cosmological situations.

Acknowledgments

HN was supported by KOSEF. We thank Christopher Gordon, Ewan Stewart, David Wands, and Winfried Zimdahl for useful discussions.

-
- [1] E.M. Lifshitz, J. Phys. (USSR) 10 (1946) 116.
 - [2] P. de Bernardis et al., Nature 404 (2000) 955; S. Hanany et al., preprint astro-ph/0005123 (2000).
 - [3] E. Gliner, Sov. Phys. JETP 22 (1966) 378; A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B 91 (1980) 99; A.H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 347.
 - [4] A.R. Liddle and D.H. Lyth, Phys. Rep. 231 (1993) 1; E.D. Stewart and D.H. Lyth, Phys. Lett. B 302 (1993) 171; J.E. Lidsey et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 69 (1997) 373; J. Martin, A. Riazuelo and D.J. Schwarz, preprint astro-ph/0006392 (2000).
 - [5] D.S. Salopek, J.R. Bond and J.M. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 1753.
 - [6] J.M. Bardeen, in: Cosmology and Particle Physics, (Gordon and Breach, London, 1988) p. 1.
 - [7] J. Hwang, Astrophys. J. 375 (1991) 443.

- [8] M. Sasaki and E.D. Stewart, Prog. Theor. Phys. 95 (1996) 71.
- [9] J. Hwang, Astrophys. J. 427 (1994) 542.
- [10] H. Kodama and M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 78 (1984) 1.
- [11] V.N. Lukash, Sov. Phys. JETP 52 (1980) 807.
- [12] C. Gordon et al., preprint astro-ph/0009131.
- [13] D. Polarski and A.A. Starobinsky, Nucl. Phys. B 385 (1992) 623; P. Peter, D. Polarski and A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4827; D. Polarski, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 6319; D. Polarski and A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 6123; V.F. Mukhanov and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Lett. B 422 (1998) 52.
- [14] A.A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. 42 (1985) 152; L.A. Kofman and A.D. Linde, Nucl. Phys. B 282 (1987) 555; L.A. Kofman and D.Yu Pogosyan, Phys. Lett. B 214 (1988) 508; S. Mollerach, Phys. Lett. B 242 (1990) 158; S. Mollerach, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 313; S. Gottlöber, V. Müller and A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 2510; L.A. Kofman, Physica Scripta T36 (1991) 108; S. Gottlöber et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 1 (1992) 257; V.F. Mukhanov, H.A. Feldman and R.H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rep. 215 (1992) 203; J. Garcia-Bellido and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 6739; J. Yokoyama, preprint gr-qc/9509006 (1995); J. Garcia-Bellido and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 5437; T.T. Nakamura and E.D. Stewart, Phys. Lett. B 381 (1996) 413; S.V. Chervon and L.V. Zakharova, Gravitation and Cosmology 3 (1997) 317; A. Linde and V. Mukhanov, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) R535; J. Yokoyama, Astron. Astrophys. 318 (1997) 673; H. Kodama and T. Hamazaki, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 7177; Y. Nambu and A. Taruya, Class. Quant. Grav. 15 (1998) 2761; M. Sasaki and T. Tanaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. 99 (1998) 763; M. Sakellariadou and N. Tetradis, preprint hep-ph/9806461 (1998); A. Taruya and Y. Nambu, Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 37; D. Langlois, preprint astro-ph/9906080 (1999).